## (Informal Joint) Cabinet



Forest Heath District Council

Title of Report:	Technical Advice Note: Space					
	Standards for Residential					
	Development					
Report No:	CAB/FH/17/056					
Report to and date:	SEBC/FHDC (Informal Joint) Cabinets	14 November 2017				
Portfolio holders:	Councillor Lance Stanbury Portfolio Holder for Planning and Growth <b>Tel:</b> 07970 947704 <b>Email:</b> <u>lance.stanbury@forest-heath.gov.uk</u> Councillor Sara Mildmay-White West Suffolk Lead for Housing <b>Tel:</b> 01359 270580 <b>Email:</b> sara.mildmay-white@stedsbc.gov.uk					
Lead officers:	Simon Phelan Service Manager (Strategic Housing) <b>Tel:</b> 01638 719440 <b>Email:</b> <u>simon.phelan@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u> Marie Smith Service Manager (Planning Strategy) <b>Tel:</b> 01638 719260 <b>Email:</b> <u>marie.smith@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>					
Purpose of report:	To seek approval from Cabinet to introduce an Interim Technical Advice Note on space standards for residential development that we will seek to require housing developers to adhere to.					
Recommendation:	Technical Advice for Residential D in Appendix A to CAB/FH/17/056	option of an interim Note on Space Standards evelopment, as contained				

	L	(2) Notes that when a new joint West Suffolk Local Plan is produced it is proposed to include a specific policy on space standards.				
Key Decision:	Is this a	a Key De	ecision and, if so, u	nder which		
	definitio	on?				
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those	Yes, it i	s a Key	Decision - $\Box$			
that <u>do not</u> apply.)	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - $\boxtimes$				
<i>The decisions made as a result of this report will usually be published within</i> <b>48 hours</b> and cannot be actioned until <b>five clear working days of the</b> <b>publication of the decision</b> have elapsed. This item is included on the Decisions Plan.						
Consultation:		• Coi	sultation has been carried out with			
				adership Team, the relevant portfolio		
			ders, the West Suf			
			ering Group and bo	oth Development		
			ntrol Committees			
<ul> <li>Alternative option(s):</li> <li>The West Suffolk Councils could choose not to introduce Technical Advice Note on Space Standards for Residential Development and continue to have to negotiate on every scheme with developers</li> </ul>						
Implications:	neinl implica	tional	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
Are there any <b>final</b> If yes, please give		luons?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
Are there any <b>staf</b>		ionc?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
If yes, please give	-	10115 !				
Are there any <b>ICT</b>		2 TF	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
yes, please give de	•	11				
Are there any <b>lega</b>		licy	Yes 🛛 No 🗆			
implications? If yes		-				
details	, picase give	-				
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications? If yes, please give details		Yes 🗆 No 🖂				
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting				
· · · ·		corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	risk (before controls)		Controls	<b>Residual risk</b> (after controls)		
	Low/Medium/	High*		Low/Medium/ High*		
No minimum space	High		Technical Guidance	Medium		
standards in place –			note introduce so			
Developers continue to build units below			developers are aware of West			
Government's			Suffolk's aspirations			
Nationally Described			around space			
Space Standards	Medium/Low		standards Having a clearly	Low		
Introducing a minimum space	Medium/LOW		Having a clearly defined Space	LUW		
standard will			Standards policy will			
discourage developers			make it clear to			
from building in west			developers what	1		

Suffolk	they will be expected to adhere to in West Suffolk	
Ward(s) affected:	All Ward/s	
<b>Background papers:</b> (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)	None	
Documents attached:	<b>Appendix A</b> - Technical Advice Note: Space Standards for Residential Development	

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

## 1.1 **Rationale for introducing Technical Guidance Note**

- 1.1.1 Currently neither Forest Heath nor St Edmundsbury Councils have within their development plans a policy that requires developers to adhere to constructing properties to a minimum space standard. Recently issues have arisen with developers building, or proposing to build properties, both openmarket and affordable, which are well below the Government's Nationally Described Space Standards. This is causing issues where a number of Registered Providers have not been willing to make offers to purchase the S106 affordable units, subsequently leading to the risk that the Council may lose these properties as affordable units.
- 1.1.2 Additionally national research has raised concerns over the potential longterm negative impact of the small sizes of some of the "open market" houses on the occupant's health and wellbeing, and/or an inability for the houses to be future-proofed. In West Suffolk, for example, issues have arisen recently with developers proposing small sized properties on sites in Bury St Edmunds, Newmarket and Red Lodge.
- 1.1.3 The table below provides a comparison for some of the more common house sizes currently being built or proposed:

	Builder Average across West Suffolk	Government's Technical Standard	Minimum sizes Registered Providers will accept
1BF 2P	43 m2	50 m2	50 m2
2BH 4P	60 m2	79 m2	75 m2
3BH 5P	80 m2	93 m2	88 m2
4BH 6P	102 m2	106 m2	102 m2
5BH 8P	153 m2	128 m2	128 m2

- 1.1.4 Unfortunately it is not possible to apply a new policy to which we can require housing developers to comply with, until the new joint West Suffolk Local Plan is produced. We can, however, produce a technical advice note setting out our preferred minimum space standards, which developers will be advised to have regard to. It is proposed that West Suffolk adopt the Government's Nationally Described Space Standards, as the minimum standard to which we would want builders/ developers to aspire to build new properties.
- 1.1.5 Evidence from other authorities across the country who have included a minimum space standards as policy within their Local Plan is that this has not discouraged developers from continuing to build within their area.